



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	<u>American Journal of Experimental Agriculture</u>
Manuscript Number:	2013_AJEA_4609
Title of the Manuscript:	Scaling up of stocking density of tiger shrimp (<i>Penaeus monodon</i>) under improved farming system in Khulna region of Bangladesh
Type of the Article	Research paper

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:

[\(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline\)](http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

- This form has total 7 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	158. Total amount of feed used in different treatments were significantly different- in this statement the author has not mentioned the total amount of feed given in the paper. 180. Fig 1. And 183 Fig.2- the authors did statistical analysis of the data but it has not indicated in the figures, it should indicate by using the bar on the top of the each histogram, the length of the bar indicate the probability of the analysis.	It is mentioned in Table 5, just below the paragraph of line 158 Standard deviations of the data were showed in the figure 1 and 2 using the upper and lower length bar.
Minor REVISION comments	18. Study design: Completely randomized design (CRD) 20. Keywords: flower bracket should be replaced 78. PL₁₅ indicates – what ? 104. Source of Probiotics not mentioned 137. Table 3: tabular Treatment 1, Treatment 2, Treatment 3 should be corrected 156. Table 4: tabular Treatment 1, Treatment 2, Treatment 3 should be corrected 167. Table 5: tabular Treatment 1, Treatment 2, Treatment 3 should be corrected	18. changed 20. replaced 78. Post larvae at 15 days old 104. Additional line added 137. Corrected 156. Corrected 167. Corrected



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The paper is a field application oriented and also useful for entrepreneurs. If you can analyse the economics the T2 cost is more when comparative with production. It can be considered under economics and application should be verified in the field orientation. These points should be evaluated and publish the work.	